

# Table of Contents

Introduction ..... III  
 Overview ..... V  
 The Editors and Authors ..... XVII

## Chapter I

### The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability ..... 1

*Martin Zahariev*

#### Mission(Possible): Where GDPR Meets Commercial Arbitration ... 3


- I. Principle Applicability of GDPR Towards Arbitration ..... 3
  - A. GDPR’s Material Scope ..... 3
    - 1. Positive Precondition ..... 4
    - 2. Negative Preconditions ..... 4
    - 3. Additional Considerations ..... 6
      - a) GDPR’s Provisions ..... 7
      - b) National practice ..... 7
  - B. GDPR’s Territorial Scope ..... 9
    - 1. Establishment Criterion ..... 9
    - 2. Targeting Criterion ..... 10
- II. Main Consequences of GDPR’s Applicability Towards Commercial Arbitration ..... 11
  - A. The Role of Arbitral Institutions and Arbitrators from Data Protection Perspective ..... 11
    - 1. Arbitral Institutions ..... 11
    - 2. Arbitrators ..... 13
  - B. Key Obligations ..... 14
    - 1. Keeping Records of Processing Activities ..... 14
    - 2. Ensuring Security ..... 15
    - 3. Regulating Relations with Data Processors ..... 17
- III. Conclusions ..... 19

*Carmen Sfeir Backsmann*

#### Enhancing the use of Arbitration through Dispute System Design:

#### The Brazilian Case ..... 21

- I. Introduction ..... 21
- II. Access to Justice in Brazil – The Courts Situation ..... 21
- III. What is Dispute System Design? ..... 24
- IV. The Importance of DSD for ADR’s and Especially for Arbitration ... 28



V. Arbitration in Brazil .....	31
VI. Conclusion .....	35
<b>Chapter II</b>	
<b>The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<i>Edna Sussman/James Lawrence</i>	
<b>Mock Arbitrations for Developing a Winning Case:</b>	
<b>Tips and Strategies .....</b>	<b>39</b>
I. Introduction .....	39
II. The Survey .....	40
III. The Genesis of Mock Arbitrations .....	41
IV. Mock Arbitrations Appear to be Spreading from the United States to International Arbitration .....	42
V. Mock Arbitrations are not a Widely Used Tool Even in the United States .....	43
VI. The Benefits of Conducting a Mock Arbitration .....	44
VII. First Steps: Designing the Process .....	46
VIII. Mock Arbitrations Do Not Have to be Very Costly .....	47
IX. Using Consultants to Facilitate the Mock Arbitration .....	48
X. Should Mock Arbitrators from Outside the Initiating Firm be Retained? .....	50
XI. When to Conduct the Mock Arbitration .....	52
XII. Retention of the Mock Arbitrators, Disclosure Obligations, and Confidentiality .....	53
XIII. Who Represents the Parties in the Mock Arbitration and How They Interact .....	53
XIV. While Real Witnesses are Sometimes Presented There are Special Considerations .....	54
XV. How Much Information and Preparation Should the Mock Arbitrators Conduct? .....	55
XVI. Should the Client be Present at the Mock Arbitration? .....	55
XVII. How is Feedback Gathered from the Mock Arbitrators? .....	56
XVIII. Are the Costs of the Mock Arbitration Recoverable? .....	57
XIX. Conclusion .....	58
<i>Petri Taivalkoski/Oskar Toivonen</i>	
<b>Facilitation of Settlements by Arbitrators</b>	
<b>Review of Methods and Techniques .....</b>	<b>59</b>
I. Introduction .....	59
II. The Added Value of Different Facilitation Methods in Assisting the Parties to Reach an Amicable Settlement .....	60
A. Interest-based Approach .....	60
B. Rights-based/Evaluative Approach .....	62

III. Utilization of Settlement Facilitation Techniques by Arbitrators in an International Arbitration . . . . .	63
A. Interest-based Methods of Settlement Facilitation . . . . .	64
B. Rights-based/Evaluative Methods of Settlement Facilitation . . . . .	66
IV. How Can the Parties to an Arbitration Make Most of Settlement Facilitation Methods and Techniques? . . . . .	69
A. Preparing a Comprehensive Case Assessment . . . . .	69
B. Determining the Best Means for the Particular Case . . . . .	70

*Arne Fuchs/Lisa Richman*

**Third-party Funding in International Arbitration:**

<b>A Comparative Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>73</b>
I. Introduction . . . . .	73
II. England and Wales . . . . .	74
A. Regulatory Framework . . . . .	74
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding . . . . .	74
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration . . . . .	75
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege . . . . .	75
2. Security for Costs . . . . .	76
3. Cost Orders . . . . .	77
a) General Principles . . . . .	77
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder . . . . .	78
D. (Other) Notable Issues . . . . .	78
III. France . . . . .	78
A. Regulatory Framework . . . . .	78
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding . . . . .	79
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration . . . . .	81
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege . . . . .	81
2. Security for Costs . . . . .	81
3. Cost Orders . . . . .	82
a) General Principles . . . . .	82
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder . . . . .	82
IV. China . . . . .	83
A. Regulatory Framework . . . . .	83
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding . . . . .	84
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration . . . . .	85
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege . . . . .	85
2. Security for Costs . . . . .	86
3. Cost Orders . . . . .	87
a) General Principles . . . . .	87
b) Cost Orders against the Third-Party Funder . . . . .	87
V. Germany . . . . .	87
A. Regulatory Framework . . . . .	87
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding . . . . .	88
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration . . . . .	89

1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege .....	89
2. Security for Costs .....	89
3. Cost Orders .....	90
VI. Austria .....	90
A. Regulatory Framework .....	90
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding .....	91
C. Practical Implications for the Arbitration .....	91
1. Disclosure Obligations and Privilege .....	91
2. Security for Costs .....	92
3. Cost Orders .....	92
VII. United States .....	92
A. Regulatory Framework .....	92
B. Admissibility of Third-Party Funding .....	93
C. Implications for Arbitrations .....	94
1. Disclosure Obligations and Attorney-Client Privilege .....	94
2. Security for Costs .....	96
3. Ethical Responsibilities to Clients .....	97
D. Notable Decisions .....	97
E. Enforcement of Awards .....	98
VIII. Conclusion .....	99

*Alexey Anischenko/Valeria Dubeshka*

<b><i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in International and Belarusian Practice of Commercial Arbitration</b> .....	101
I. Introduction .....	101
II. Background .....	103
III. <i>Iura Novit Arbiter</i> in International and Belarusian Practice .....	105
A. Legal Issues and Legal Provisions .....	108
B. Relief Sought .....	110
C. Legal Authorities .....	112
IV. Conclusion .....	113

*Irene Welser/Samuel Mimmagh*

<b>Production of Physical Evidence in International Arbitration – A No Go?</b> .....	115
I. Introduction .....	115
II. Evidence Production in Arbitral Proceedings .....	116
III. International Rules and Guidelines on the Production of Physical Evidence .....	120
IV. Inspection Procedures: An Adequate Substitute for the Exchange of Physical Evidence? .....	127
V. How Should Physical Evidence Be Requested? .....	130
VI. Potential Consequences of Restricting Access to Physical Evidence ..	132
VII. Conclusion .....	134

*Howard N. Rosen/Gigi D'Souza*

<b>Making Effective Use of Experts</b> .....	137
I. Introduction .....	137
II. Party-Appointed Experts and Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	138
A. The Two Traditions .....	138
B. The Best of Both Worlds? .....	139
III. Recent Update: ConocoPhillips v. Venezuela Award .....	141
A. Background .....	141
B. Tribunal's Observations on the Experts' Evidence .....	141
1. Tribunal states that experts' opinions are insufficiently supported by evidence .....	141
2. Tribunal states that experts' arguments are confusing and unhelpful .....	142
3. Tribunal disregards both parties' experts' conclusions and must make its own adjustments .....	143
4. Tribunal's suggested measures to narrow the gap between the experts .....	143
C. Summary .....	144
IV. Rules Governing Expert Witnesses .....	144
A. Jurisdictional Rules .....	144
B. Rules and Practice in Arbitration .....	148
1. Arbitration Rules .....	148
2. Expert Declaration .....	149
3. The Tribunal's Role as "Gatekeepers" .....	150
C. Professional Body Rules and Financial Experts .....	152
V. Opposing Forces on the Experts (Behavioural Issues) .....	153
A. Overview .....	153
1. Duty to the Tribunal .....	154
2. Duty to Counsel .....	155
3. Duty to the Client .....	156
4. Duty to the Opposing Expert .....	157
B. Counsel-Expert Communication .....	157
VI. Expert Meetings and Joint Reports .....	160
VII. Our Personal Experience as Damages Experts .....	161
VIII. Suggestions for the Future .....	162
Appendix .....	167

*Florian Haugeneder*

<b>Party-Appointed and Tribunal-Appointed Experts in International Arbitration</b> .....	177
I. Introduction .....	177
II. Choosing Between Party-Appointed and Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	178
A. Timing of the Choice .....	178

B. Criteria for the Choice .....	179
C. The Sachs Protocol .....	181
III. The Arbitral Procedure Involving Experts .....	182
A. Determination of the Profile and Selection of the Expert .....	182
1. Party-Appointed Experts .....	182
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	185
B. The Expert's Terms of Reference .....	187
1. Party-Appointed Experts .....	187
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	188
C. The Conduct of the Investigation .....	189
1. Party-Appointed Experts .....	189
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	190
D. The Expert Report .....	192
1. Party-Appointed Experts .....	192
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	193
E. Scrutiny of the Expert Report and Oral Examination .....	194
1. Party-Appointed Experts .....	194
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts .....	196
IV. Conclusions .....	197

*Nikolaus Pitkowicz*

**Confidentiality and Protection of Confidentiality**

<b>in Arbitration</b> .....	199
I. Introductory Remarks .....	199
II. The Public as Part of the Jurisdiction .....	199
A. Confidentiality as Part of Arbitration .....	201
B. Distinguishing Confidentiality and Privacy .....	203
III. Privacy .....	204
A. Arbitration Rules .....	205
B. Investment Arbitration .....	207
IV. Confidentiality .....	209
A. Confidentiality Obligation of the Parties .....	210
1. Comparative Legal Overview .....	210
2. Situation in Austria .....	213
3. DIS – German Institution of Arbitration as of March 1, 2018 .....	217
4. ICC – International Court of Arbitration as of March 1, 2017 .....	218
5. VIAC – Vienna Rules as of January 1, 2018 .....	218
6. SCC – Stockholm Chamber of Commerce as of January 1, 2017 .....	219
7. SIAC – Singapore International Arbitration Centre 2016 .....	219
8. Swiss Rules of International Arbitration as of June 1, 2012 .....	220
9. Further Arbitration Rules .....	221

10. UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES 2013 .....	224
11. UNCITRAL Notes on Organizing Arbitral Proceedings (2016) .....	224
12. IBA (International Bar Association) Rules for the Taking of Evidence in International Arbitration .....	225
13. Rules on the Efficient Conduct of Proceedings in International Arbitration (Prague Rules) 2018 .....	226
B. Confidentiality Obligation of Experts .....	227
C. Confidentiality Obligation of Witnesses .....	227
D. Confidentiality Obligation of Arbitrators .....	227
E. Confidentiality of the Arbitral Institution .....	229
V. Outlook and Recommendations .....	229

### Chapter III

<b>The Award and the Courts</b> .....	231
---------------------------------------	-----

*Maciej Kochanowski*

<b>Foreseeability of Damage under CISG Applied in International Arbitration – New Solutions to Old Problems?</b> .....	233
I. Introduction .....	233
II. Foreseeability of Damage under CISG .....	235
III. Problems with the Application of the Foreseeability of Damage under CISG .....	239
IV. Potential Solutions .....	243
A. Identification of the Foreseeability as a Point of Dispute .....	243
B. Arbitrators' Role .....	244
1. One or More Arbitrators .....	246
2. Arbitrators' Nationality and Legal Background .....	247
3. Arbitrator's Professional Background .....	250
C. Application of Technology – Artificial Intelligence .....	256
V. Arbitral Awards on Foreseeability .....	257
A. General Overview .....	257
B. Potential Amendments .....	261
VI. Conclusion .....	262

*Manuel Conthe*

<b>Time-travel Riddles in the Assessment of Damages</b> .....	265
I. Riddle # 1: Subsequent Remedial Measures .....	266
II. Damages and the DCF Model .....	271
III. Riddle # 2: “The Political Risk Conundrum” .....	273
IV. Riddle # 3: The “Excessive Return” .....	280
V. Riddle # 4: The “Financial Roundtrip” .....	282
VI. Conclusion .....	287

*Lisa Beisteiner*

**To Be or Not to Be an Arbitrator – On the Nature of**

<b>Emergency Arbitration</b> .....	289
I. Introduction and Focus .....	289
A. The Question: Emergency Arbitration as Arbitration Proper? ...	289
B. Main Features of Emergency Arbitration – an Overview .....	294
II. The Concepts of “Arbitration” and “Arbitrator” under	
Austrian Law .....	295
A. What is “Arbitration”? .....	295
B. Judicial versus Contractual Dispute Resolution .....	298
C. Intention of the Parties .....	299
III. Discussion: Emergency Arbitration as Arbitration? .....	301
A. Mandate Limited to Interim Relief .....	302
1. General .....	302
2. Can an “Arbitrator’s” Mandate be Limited to	
Interim Relief? .....	303
B. The EA’s Power to Render Interim Relief is not Equivalent	
to that of the Arbitral Tribunal .....	306
1. Lack of Finality of the EA Decision .....	306
2. Same Substantive Power to Order Interim Relief? .....	311
C. EA does not Exclude State Court Jurisdiction .....	311
D. Further Aspects of the Party Agreement on EA (under ICC Rules)	313
1. EA as a Pre-Arbitral Procedure in Multi-tier	
Dispute Resolution Clause .....	313
2. Inherently no Selection of Arbitrator by Parties .....	315
3. Fast Proceedings and Procedural Guarantees –	
an Inherent Conflict? .....	315
4. Consequence of Non-Compliance: Breach of Contract .....	319
5. EA has a “Place of Proceedings” .....	320
6. EA holds “Kompetenz-Kompetenz” .....	321
7. Perceived Need and Party Intention: “Enforceable order”? ...	323
E. One Single Arbitration: The Cure? .....	324
IV. Conclusion: Emergency Arbitrator is not an Arbitrator .....	326
A. General .....	326
B. Qualification of Emergency Arbitrator .....	327
C. Legal Consequences of Qualification as Contractual	
Adjudicator .....	329
V. Outlook .....	331

*Mauro Rubino-Sammartano*

**Can a “May” Become a “Must”?**

<b>(The New York Convention 1958 revisited)</b> .....	333
I. A Quick Survey of the Opposite Doctrines .....	333
II. How a “May” Can Become a “Must” .....	337



*Alice Meissner*

<b>Enforcement of a CIETAC Arbitral Award with Vienna as Place of Oral Hearing</b> .....	341
I. Introduction .....	341
II. Place of Arbitration vs. Place of Oral Hearing .....	342
A. Place of Arbitration according to Art. 7 CIETAC Rules .....	344
B. Place of Oral Hearing according to Art. 36 CIETAC Rules .....	345
III. Characterisation of an Arbitral Award with Place of Oral Hearing in Vienna under Chinese law .....	346
A. Differentiation of Arbitral Awards .....	346
B. Characterisation of an Arbitral Award with Place of Oral Hearing in Vienna .....	348
IV. Enforcement of a Foreign and Foreign-related Arbitral Award .....	350
A. Competent Court for the Enforcement Proceedings .....	350
B. Grounds for Non-Enforcement .....	352
C. The Prior Reporting System .....	352
V. Conclusion .....	353

*Markus Schifferl/Alexander Zojer*

<b>Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2018 and 2019</b> .....	355
I. Enforceability of an Arbitral Award .....	356
A. Facts of the Case .....	356
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	356
C. Additional Remarks .....	358
II. Arbitral Award vs. Expert Determination .....	359
A. Facts of the Case .....	359
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	359
C. Additional Remarks .....	361
III. Application to Set Aside an Arbitral Award .....	362
A. Facts of the Case .....	362
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	362
C. Additional Remarks .....	363
IV. Challenge to Arbitrator .....	364
A. Facts of the Case .....	364
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	364
C. Additional Remarks .....	366
V. Unreasoned Arbitral Award, Right to be Heard, Ordre Public .....	367
A. Facts of the Case .....	367
B. Decision of the Supreme Court .....	368
C. Additional Remarks .....	369

**Chapter IV  
Science and Arbitration** ..... 373

*Nikolaus Pitkowicz/Elisabeth Vanas-Metzler/Anna Katharina Radschek  
and the 25 contributors*

**The Vienna Propositions for Innovative and Scientific Methods  
and Tools in International Arbitration** ..... 375

- I. Introduction ..... 375
- II. Seven Propositions for the Development and Use of Scientific  
Mechanisms in Arbitration ..... 377
- II. World Café Reports ..... 382

*Katharina Brückner/Florian Ettmayer*

**A. Methods of Psychology and Other Sciences in  
Witness Preparation** ..... 382

- 1. Introduction ..... 382
- 2. What Effect has the Preparation of a Witness on his  
Recollection of Events? ..... 383
- 3. How Do You Assess: Fidgeting, Shifting Posture,  
Poor Eye Contact and Stammering of a Witness? ..... 385
- 4. Have You Ever Prepared a Witness on Content and  
Potentially Influenced His Testimony? ..... 386
- 5. Do You Consider the Rules On Witness Preparation  
Adequate in Your Jurisdiction? ..... 387
- 6. Concluding Remarks ..... 388

*Philipp Duncker/Roxanne de Jesus*

**B. Unconscious Bias in International Arbitration –  
What to be aware of** ..... 389

- 1. Introduction ..... 389
- 2. Primacy vs. Recency ..... 389
- 3. Anchoring ..... 390
- 4. Unringing the Bell ..... 391
- 5. Hindsight Bias ..... 392
- 6. Framing ..... 392
- 7. Conclusion ..... 392

*Caroline Bühler/Robert Wachter*

**C. Psychology During Witness (Cross)-Examination** ..... 393

- 1. How to Deal With Expert Witnesses ..... 394
- 2. Credibility of the Witness ..... 395
- 3. Mock Cross-Examination: Yes or No? ..... 396
- 4. Language ..... 397
- 5. Final remarks ..... 397

*Jonathan Barnett/Nina Pichler*

**D. Psychological Aspects in Selecting and Advertising the Place of Arbitration in International Arbitration Location! Location! Location!**

<b>What Makes a Place Attractive for Arbitration?</b> .....	398
1. Selection of the Place of Arbitration: Theory vs. Practice .....	398
a) Theory .....	398
b) Practice .....	399
c) Psychological Aspects .....	399
(1) Habit: “Copy and Paste” .....	399
(2) Compromise and Neutrality .....	400
(3) Intuition and Experiences .....	400
(4) Confusion .....	401
2. Advertising Places of Arbitration .....	401
a) Reports and Statistics .....	401
b) Advertising an Arbitral Institution .....	402
c) Advertising a Place of Arbitration .....	403
d) Conclusion .....	404

*Amelie Huber-Starlinger/Natascha Tunkel*

<b>E. The Use of Mediative Tools in Arbitration</b> .....	404
1. Introduction .....	404
2. Distinguishing Mediation and Arbitration .....	405
3. Mediative Tools .....	405
4. Caucus .....	407
a) Definition .....	407
b) Is Caucus Permissible in Arbitration? .....	407
c) Safeguards when Conducting Caucus in Arbitration .....	408
d) Conclusion .....	410

*Miklós Boronkay/Philip Exenberger*

**F. Blockchain, Smart Contracts and Arbitration Overrated Hype or Chance for the Arbitration Community?**

.....	411
1. Participants .....	411
2. Introduction to Blockchain & Smart Contracts .....	411
3. Chances and Risks for Smart Contract-related Arbitration .....	412
a) A Solution Without a Problem? .....	412
b) Potential Applications .....	413
c) Arbitration and Blockchain .....	414
d) Costs and risks .....	415
e) Enforcement Issues .....	416
4. Outlook .....	418

*Till Alexander Backsmann/Josef Fröhlingsdorf*

**G. Innovative Information and Communication Technology  
as Tools for Cost Efficient and Reasonable Conduct  
of International Arbitral Proceedings**

<b>Cultural Challenges and Conflicts</b> .....	419
1. Introduction .....	419
2. Handling of Documents and Information .....	419
3. Proceeding .....	421
4. Hearing .....	422
5. Decision and Award .....	423
6. Problems, Risk and Challenges in the Use of IT .....	425
7. Outlook Into the Future .....	426
8. Practical Recommendation for the Use of New IT and AI ....	426

*Sebastian Feiler/Christof Siefarth*

**H. “Please Consider the Environment Before  
Conducting this Arbitration”**

<b>Towards Fully-Digitized Arbitral Proceedings</b> .....	427
1. Arbitration and the Patagonian Forest .....	427
2. Electronic Court Filings and Digitized Arbitration Proceedings – the Status Quo .....	427
3. Use of Technology in Arbitral Proceedings .....	430
4. Conclusion .....	431

*Judith Knieper/Irene Ng (Huang Ying)*

**I. Drafting an UNCITRAL Arbitration Instrument:  
An Inclusive and Universal Discussion**

<b>An Inclusive and Universal Discussion</b> .....	431
1. Introduction .....	431
2. About UNCITRAL .....	432
3. Involvement of External Stakeholders .....	433
a) National Correspondents: Installing a Network .....	433
b) Call for Further Efforts in Reaching Out .....	434
4. The Usefulness of UNCITRAL’s Publications .....	435
a) Greater Connectivity of UNCITRAL’s Databases .....	435
b) Call for Contributions .....	436
c) The Feedback Portal .....	436
5. The Access to Research Materials .....	437
a) A Need for Greater Awareness .....	437
b) Information (Or Too Much of It) .....	438
6. Findings .....	438
7. Appendix .....	439

*Georg Adler/Radu Giosan*

**J. Science and Technology in International Arbitration:  
But to What End  
Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning –  
A Table Discussion Summary** ..... 441

1. Introduction ..... 441
2. Propositions Explored by the Table Discussions ..... 442
  - a) Predictability ..... 442
  - b) Rationality ..... 444
  - c) Legal Sources of the Arbitrator’s Tools ..... 444
  - d) Scrutiny of Science & Conceptual Limitations ..... 445
3. The Moderators’ Observations ..... 446
  - a) Breakdown of the Discourse ..... 446
  - b) Focus on the Client ..... 446
4. Conclusion ..... 447

*Nadine S. Pfiffner*

**K. The Use of Analytical Tools to Determine Case Strategy  
A Necessity for the Time- and Cost-Efficient Management  
and Resolution of Complex Disputes** ..... 447

1. Introduction ..... 447
2. Early Case Assessment ..... 448
  - a) A Conflict Management Process ..... 448
  - b) Factors to Be Considered ..... 449
    - (1) Gathering of information about the dispute ..... 449
    - (2) Identification of client’s and opponent’s business  
interests ..... 449
    - (3) Analysis of the forum and the opponent’s side ..... 449
    - (4) Legal analysis of case and determination of  
strategy ..... 450
  - c) Current Practice ..... 450
  - d) Key Benefits ..... 450
3. Decision Tree Analysis ..... 450
  - a) Calculating Probabilities and Settlement Amounts ..... 450
    - (1) Basic decision tree analysis ..... 451
    - (2) Advanced decision tree analysis ..... 451
  - b) Possible Fields of Application ..... 452
    - (1) By parties and counsel ..... 452
    - (2) By the arbitral tribunal ..... 452
    - (3) By litigation funders ..... 452
  - c) Key Benefits ..... 453
    - (1) Structured and comprehensive analysis of case ..... 453
    - (2) Rational estimation of litigation risks ..... 453
    - (3) Enhanced settlement discussions ..... 453
  - d) Risks ..... 454

(1) Output only as good as input .....	454
(2) A tool amongst others .....	455
4. Big Data Predictive Analysis .....	455
a) Application in Law .....	455
b) Possible Benefits .....	456
c) Risks .....	456
(1) Amount and quality of data .....	456
(2) Transparency and control of process .....	457
5. Conclusion .....	457

*Stephan Karall/Brian Samuel Oiwoh*

<b>L. Artificial Intelligence and New Technologies – Are They Suitable to Address the Shortcomings of Human Arbitrators?</b> .....	458
1. Introductory Remarks .....	458
2. Will AI and New Technologies Help to Overcome Human Bias? .....	459
3. Will New Technologies Increase the Value of Witness Testimony? .....	462

*Petra Butler/Christina Geissler*

<b>M. Contractual Realities of SMEs – Access to Commercial Justice</b> .....	466
1. Introduction .....	466
2. Experts' Assessment vs Reality .....	468
a) Regional Risk .....	468
b) Minimizing Risk .....	469
c) Dispute Resolution .....	470
3. Conclusion .....	475

*Philippa Charles*

<b>The Hofstede Dimensions in International Arbitration: How Understanding National Characteristics Improves Arbitral Harmony</b> .....	477
I. Introduction .....	477
II. Ironing Out Cultural Difference Via Regulatory Alignment .....	478
III. The Hofstede Dimensions .....	480
A. Power Distance Index .....	481
B. Individualism versus Collectivism .....	482
C. Masculinity versus Femininity .....	482
D. Uncertainty Avoidance Index .....	483
E. Long Term Orientation versus Short Term Normative Orientation .....	484
F. Indulgence versus Restraint .....	485

IV. Implications of the Hofstede Dimensions for Arbitrations . . . . .	485
A. Selection of the Tribunal Chair . . . . .	486
B. Approaches to Interim Decision Making . . . . .	488
V. Cultural Dynamics in Communication:	
Low-Context v High-Context . . . . .	489
A. Persuasiveness . . . . .	491
B. Witness Evidence . . . . .	492
C. Principles-first/Facts first Approaches to Legal Issues . . . . .	493
VI. Conclusions: Harnessing Cultural Dynamics to Best Effect . . . . .	494

*Giuditta Cordero-Moss*

<b>Psychology in Arbitration: How Your “Legal Imprinting” Impacts on Contract Construction</b> . . . . .	497
I. Introduction . . . . .	497
II. Does Arbitration Autonomy Permit to Avoid the Influence from Legal Culture? . . . . .	499
III. Can the Parties Restrict the Influence from Legal Culture? . . . . .	502
IV. The Impact of Legal Culture on the Construction of the Contract . . .	505
V. Conclusion . . . . .	510

*Cecilia Carrara*

<b>The Impact of Cognitive Science and Artificial Intelligence on Arbitral Proceedings</b>	
<b>Ethical issues</b> . . . . .	513
I. Social Behavioral Science in International Arbitration . . . . .	513
II. The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence Tools in the Context of Arbitration Proceedings . . . . .	519
A. Search-Tools for Legal Research . . . . .	522
B. Search-tools for the Selection of Arbitrators . . . . .	523
C. Search-tools for the Selection of Experts and Witnesses and AI Used as Witness-equivalent . . . . .	523
D. AI Tools in the Adjudication Phase . . . . .	525
III. Legal Principles and Guidelines . . . . .	528
IV. Conclusions . . . . .	529

<b>Chapter V Investment Arbitration.</b> . . . . .	531
--	-----

*Olga Kuchmiienko*

<b>How does the Change in Effective Control over Territory Influence the Application of the Ukraine-Russia and Other BITS? To BIT or NOT TO BIT?</b> . . . . .	533
I. Introduction . . . . .	533
II. Application of the Ukraine-Russia BIT . . . . .	534

A. Outlook of the Ukrainian Cases .....	534
B. Arbitration Agreement – Ready, Steady, Go .....	535
C. Temporal and Territorial Scope of the BIT .....	536
1. Territorial Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT .....	538
a) BIT Interpretation .....	538
aa) Ordinary Meaning .....	539
bb) The Context of the BIT .....	540
cc) Object and Purpose of the BIT .....	541
dd) Good Faith .....	542
b) Illegally Annexed Territories as Part of the State Territory in Terms of BIT .....	544
aa) The Public International Law Perspective .....	544
bb) Crimea as Part of Russia From BIT Perspective .....	547
(1) The “Moving treaty frontier” Rule .....	547
(2) Non-contradiction to Non-recognition Principle .....	548
(3) Investment Tribunals Interpret the BIT to Decide Investment Disputes .....	550
c) Legal Consequences Based on the Territorial Scope of the BIT .....	551
2. The temporal Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT .....	552
a) VCLT Interpretation .....	552
aa) Ordinary Meaning .....	553
bb) Context .....	553
cc) Object and Purpose .....	553
dd) Good Faith .....	554
b) Temporal Scope of the Ukraine-Russia BIT in Dates .....	554
c) The date from which the investor is covered by the BIT protection: (a) time of the investment; (b) factual control or (c) official statement of Russia? .....	556
IV. Application of Other BITs .....	558
A. Inspiration .....	558
B. Change in Effective Control Over Territory – the Beginning of Another BIT’s Application? .....	558
V. Conclusion .....	560

*Alexander Karl*

<b>Security for Costs as a Default in Investment Arbitration: A Workable Protection for States when Third-Party Funders are involved? .....</b>	<b>563</b>
I. Introduction .....	563
II. Overview of Current Legal Framework and Practice of Security of Costs in International Investment Arbitration .....	565
A. What is Security for Costs and Do Tribunals Have Power to Order Security For Costs .....	565



B.	Requirements for Security for Costs and Current Practice by Investment Tribunals .....	567
1.	General Remarks and Practice .....	567
2.	Situation where Third-Party Funders are Involved .....	569
III.	Current Developments Under ICSID and UNCITRAL .....	571
A.	Proposed Amendments to the ICSID Rules .....	571
B.	UNCITRAL Working Group III .....	572
IV.	Security for Costs as a Default .....	573
A.	Positive Effects of the Proposal .....	574
1.	Prevention of Frivolous Claims .....	574
a)	Even the best due diligence does not guarantee that only meritorious claims are brought .....	575
b)	Portfolio funding/Intentional risk-taking .....	576
c)	Non-credible funders .....	576
2.	Establishment of a Balance between Interests of States and Investors .....	577
3.	Foreseeability from the Outset .....	578
4.	Less Costs and Time to be Spent on Security for Costs Proceedings .....	578
B.	Challenges and Disadvantages of the Proposal .....	579
1.	Application of a “Cost Shifting” Approach .....	579
2.	Asymmetry in favor of Impecunious Claimants .....	583
3.	Establishment of a Reasonability Test .....	584
4.	Extent of Disclosure .....	587
5.	Differences in the Scope of Funding .....	590
V.	Concluding Remarks .....	591

*Bernhard Wychera*

**Arbitrating under the Energy Charter Treaty –  
a Fight against Windmills?**

	.....	593
I.	Background and Development of the ECT .....	594
II.	Substantive Protection Provisions of the ECT .....	595
A.	Fair and Equitable Treatment .....	596
B.	Most Constant Protection and Security .....	597
C.	Prohibition against Unreasonable and Discriminatory Measures .....	597
D.	Umbrella Clause .....	597
E.	Expropriation .....	597
III.	Jurisdictional Provisions .....	598
A.	Dispute Resolution .....	598
B.	Tax Carve-out .....	598
IV.	The Achmea Background .....	599
V.	The Intra-EU Objections prior to Achmea .....	600
VI.	Post Achmea Decisions .....	601
A.	Novenergia .....	601

## Contents

B. Masdar .....	604
C. Antin .....	605
D. Vattenfall .....	605
E. Foresight and Greentech .....	607
F. Political Declarations of EU Member States .....	607
G. The 2019 Decisions .....	607
VII. Conclusion .....	609
<b>Annex</b> .....	611
<i>Stephan Karall</i>	
<b>VIAC's Annual Report – 2018</b>	
<b>A Review of an Eventful Year full of Changes for the</b>	
<b>Vienna International Arbitral Centre (“VIAC”)</b> .....	613
I. Introduction .....	613
II. Change at VIAC Secretariat .....	613
III. Change of Rules: The Adaption of the VIAC Rules .....	614
A. Administration of Purely Domestic Cases .....	614
B. Further Changes of the Rules .....	615
IV. Statistics .....	616
A. Number of Cases .....	616
B. Parties .....	616
C. Nationality of Arbitrators .....	617
D. Gender Ratio .....	617
E. Costs .....	618
F. Duration of Proceedings .....	618
V. Events .....	618
A. 10 <sup>th</sup> Vienna Arbitration Days (VAD) .....	618
B. GAR LIVE Vienna 2018 .....	619
C. VIAC-IBA Mediation and Negotiation Competition	
“CDRC Vienna 2018” .....	620
VI. Strengthen the Ties between China and VIAC .....	620
VII. Cooperation with the Energy Community Secretariat’s	
Dispute Resolution and Negotiation Centre (“The Centre”) .....	621
VIII. Award for VIAC .....	622
IX. Final Remarks .....	622
<i>Alfred Siwy</i>	
<b>Recent Publications</b> .....	623
<b>Index</b> .....	629