

Table of Contents

Preface	V
List of Abbreviations	XIII
1. Introduction	1
1.1. Research Aim	1
1.2. Structure of the Book	4
1.3. Background on EU Law and Direct Taxation	7
1.3.1. The Relevance of EU Law for Direct Taxation	7
1.3.1.1. Competences of the EU and Negative Integration ...	7
1.3.1.2. Tax Treaties and the Internal Market	10
1.3.1.2.1. Tax Treaties as Complements to the Internal Market ...	10
1.3.1.2.2. Hierarchy between EU Law and Tax Treaties	12
1.3.2. Compatibility of National Measures with the Fundamental Freedoms	15
1.3.2.1. Personal and Material Scope	15
1.3.2.2. Compatibility Framework	20
1.3.2.3. Restriction	22
1.3.2.4. Comparability	25
1.3.2.5. Justifying Legitimate Objective	27
1.3.2.6. Proportionality Analysis	28
1.3.2.6.1. Origin, Theoretical Framework and Legal Status	28
1.3.2.6.2. Proportionality in CJEU Case Law	33
1.3.2.7. Room for Improvement	39
2. The Principle of Territoriality	42
2.1. The Principle of Territoriality in General International Law	42
2.1.1. Territoriality and Nationality	42
2.1.2. Parallel Jurisdiction	43
2.1.3. Prescriptive and Enforcement Jurisdiction	45
2.1.4. Limitations to Prescriptive Jurisdiction	46
2.1.4.1. The Genuine Link	46
2.1.4.2. The Genuine Link in the Case Law of the ICJ: Nottebohm and Barcelona Traction	47
2.1.4.3. Requirements of Customary Law	50
2.2. The Principle of Territoriality in Tax Law	50
2.2.1. The Meaning of Territoriality in Taxation	50
2.2.2. Theories on Taxation	52
2.2.2.1. Contracts, Costs and Benefits	52
2.2.2.2. Ability to Pay	54
2.2.2.3. Economic and Political Allegiance	55

Table of Contents

2.2.2.4.	Economic Allegiance and State-Control	58
2.2.2.5.	Once Again: Benefit and Ability to Pay Theories	59
2.2.3.	Design of Tax Systems	60
2.2.3.1.	Domestic Law	60
2.2.3.1.1.	Worldwide Taxation and Territorial Taxation	60
2.2.3.1.2.	The Genuine Link as Limitation to Tax?	61
2.2.3.2.	Double Taxation Conventions	64
2.2.3.2.1.	Emergence of Double Tax Treaties	64
2.2.3.2.2.	The Allocation of Taxing Rights under Double Tax Treaties	66
2.2.4.	Territoriality in Tax Law	67
2.3.	Territoriality and EU law	69
2.3.1.	Explicit References to Territoriality Fields other than Direct Taxation	69
2.3.2.	References to the Principle of Territoriality in EU Law on Direct Taxation	74
2.3.3.	Cases in Direct Taxation	74
2.3.3.1.	Taxation of Non-Residents	74
2.3.3.2.	Taxation of Residents	76
2.3.3.2.1.	Income from Shareholdings in Non-Resident Subsidiaries	76
2.3.3.2.2.	Group Taxation and Final Losses	77
2.3.3.2.3.	Exit Taxation	79
2.3.4.	Findings	82
2.3.4.1.	No Common Manifestation of Territoriality	82
2.3.4.2.	The Role of Territoriality in CJEU Direct Tax Case Law	84
2.3.4.2.1.	Impact of the Meaning of Territoriality under General International Law	84
2.3.4.2.2.	Territoriality in Case Law concerning the Taxation of Non-Residents	85
2.3.4.2.3.	Territoriality in Case Law concerning the Taxation of Residents	88
3.	Compatibility of National Law with the Fundamental Freedoms	90
3.1.	Restriction	90
3.1.1.	Discrimination and Obstacle-based Approach	90
3.1.2.	Treatments resulting from Disparities	93
3.1.2.1.	The Per Country and the Overall Approach	93
3.1.2.2.	The Per Country Perspective in CJEU Case Law	95
3.1.2.3.	The Overall Approach in CJEU Case Law	97
3.1.3.	Interim Result	98
3.1.3.1.	Dogmatic Classification	98
3.1.3.2.	Taxation of Residents and Territorial Taxation	100

3.2.	Comparability	101
3.2.1.	The Situations to be compared	101
3.2.1.1.	The Pair of Comparison	101
3.2.1.2.	“Inbound” and “Outbound” Cases	102
3.2.1.3.	The Relevance of the Inbound and Outbound Distinction: Selected Case Law	106
3.2.1.3.1.	Parent Company vs Operating Subsidiary Perspective	106
3.2.1.3.2.	Shareholder vs Distributing Company Perspective	108
3.2.1.3.3.	Taxpayer and PE Perspective	109
3.2.1.3.4.	Payer and Payee Perspective	112
3.2.1.3.5.	Family deductions and Joint Assessment	113
3.2.2.	Approaches towards Comparability	113
3.2.2.1.	Overview of Approaches	113
3.2.2.2.	Comparability by Territoriality	115
3.2.2.3.	Subject-to-Tax Approach	116
3.2.2.3.1.	General	116
3.2.2.3.2.	Inbound Cases	117
3.2.2.3.3.	Outbound Cases	119
3.2.2.3.4.	Negative Taxing Power	121
3.2.2.3.5.	E contrario Implications	121
3.2.2.4.	Aim-Based Approach	125
3.2.2.4.1.	General	125
3.2.2.4.2.	Choice of Relevant Aim	126
3.2.2.4.3.	Examples of Aims	128
3.2.2.4.3.1.	Providing a Tax Advantage	128
3.2.2.4.3.2.	Aims Defined Purely Domestically	129
3.2.2.4.3.3.	Ability to Pay	131
3.2.2.4.3.4.	Preserving the Allocation of Taxing Power and Preventing Double Deduction	133
3.2.2.4.4.	Competence to Interpret National Law?	133
3.2.2.5.	Conditional Comparability	135
3.2.2.5.1.	The Proportion of Income earned in a State: Schumacker Doctrine	135
3.2.2.5.2.	The Definitive Tax Burden	137
3.2.2.6.	Gerritse Comparability	140
3.2.3.	Relation of Approaches	141
3.2.3.1.	Overlaps	141
3.2.3.2.	E Contrario or Combining Nature?	143
3.2.3.3.	Different Roads, (almost) same Result?	146
3.2.4.	The Role of Territoriality in the Comparability Analysis	150

Table of Contents

3.2.4.1.	Territoriality as an Element of the Comparability Analysis?	150
3.2.4.2.	Territoriality as Aim of the National Legislation	151
3.2.4.3.	Alternatives to hitherto Approaches	155
3.3.	Justifying Legitimate Objectives	156
3.3.1.	Legitimate Objectives	156
3.3.2.	Effectiveness of Fiscal Supervision and Collection of Taxes	157
3.3.3.	Prevention of Abuse	159
3.3.3.1.	Prevention of Abuse as Justification	159
3.3.3.2.	Anti-Tax Avoidance Measures in Secondary Law ...	162
3.3.3.3.	The Prevention of Abuse as General Principle of EU Law	162
3.3.4.	Balanced Allocation of Taxing Rights	165
3.3.4.1.	General	165
3.3.4.2.	Selected Case Law	168
3.3.4.2.1.	Foreign Losses	168
3.3.4.2.2.	Taxation of Income	169
3.3.4.2.3.	Exit Taxation	170
3.3.4.3.	Correlation with the Prevention of Prevention of Avoidance	171
3.3.4.4.	Symmetrical exercise of Taxing Power	175
3.3.4.5.	Relevance of the Nexus	177
3.3.5.	Territoriality as a Legitimate Objective?	179
3.4.	Proportionality	181
3.4.1.	Ensuring Fiscal Supervision and Effective Collection of Taxes	181
3.4.1.1.	Availability of less Restrictive Means	181
3.4.1.2.	“Less Restrictive” and “Equally Effective”	184
3.4.2.	Prohibition of Abusive Practices	186
3.4.3.	Balanced Allocation of Taxing Rights and other Objectives	187
3.4.3.1.	The Final Losses Doctrine	187
3.4.3.2.	Exit Taxation	192
3.4.4.	Proportionality and Arguments relating to Territoriality	194
4.	Conclusion	196
4.1.	The Different Facets of Territoriality	196
4.2.	The Rule of Reason	198
4.2.1.	Tax Sovereignty and the Internal Market	198
4.2.2.	Defining the Alleged Restriction	199
4.2.3.	Justification and Proportionality	202

4.2.4.	Changing Weight of Territoriality	206
4.2.5.	Legislative vs Judicial Action	208
5. Sources		210
5.1.	Literature	210
5.2.	Case Law	231
5.2.1.	Case Law of the CJEU	231
5.2.2.	Other Case Law	241
5.3.	Opinions	242
5.3.1.	Opinions of AG on CJEU Case Law	242
5.3.2.	Opinions on other Case Law	243
Series on International Tax Law.....		245