

Table of Contents

Introduction	III
Overview	V
The Editors and Authors	XVII

Chapter I The Arbitration Agreement and Arbitrability 1

Christian W. Konrad

Arbitration in the West – The Birth of an Institution 3

I. The Origins: Set in Stone	3
II. Religion and Arbitration	4
III. Greeks and Arbitration	5
A. Mythology and Arbitration	6
B. Selecting an Arbitrator and Clauses	7
C. Roots of Modernization	8
IV. Roman Arbitration Milestones	9
V. The Middle Ages	12
VI. The Austrian Monarchy and Arbitration	13
A. “Public” Arbitration	15
B. Legally Mandated Tribunals with Exclusive Jurisdiction	15
C. Legally Mandated Tribunals with Jurisdiction by Submission	15
D. Schätzungsmänner	16

Johannes P. Willheim

The Powers of Arbitral Tribunals in Price Revision Disputes Illustrated with the Example of Long Term Gas Supply Agreements 17

I. The Call for Arbitration	17
II. Price-Reopeners	19
A. Possibilities to Amend Long Term Agreements	19
B. Contractual Basis	19
1. <i>Ad Hoc</i> Amendments and Renegotiation Clauses	19
2. Price Re-Openers in Long Term Gas Supply Agreements	20
III. The Powers of Arbitral Tribunals in Resolving Price Revision Disputes	21
A. General Decision Powers of Arbitral Tribunals	21
1. Private Performance of Judicial Tasks	21
2. Limitations of Decision Powers	21
B. Contract Amendments by Courts and Arbitral Tribunals	22
1. General Powers to Determine Legal Relations	22
2. Limited Powers to Amend Agreements	23
3. Powers in the Context of Renegotiation Clauses	24
4. The Requirement of Sufficient Determination	25
C. Excess of Authority	27
D. Summary Conclusions	28

Nikolaus Pitkowitz

The Arbitrability of Corporate Disputes under Austrian Law –

Still Open Questions?	31
I. Introduction	31
II. Objective Arbitrability and Corporate Law	32
A. Basics	32
B. The Arbitrability of Disputes Challenging LLC Shareholders' Resolutions	34
1. Difficulties	34
2. The State of Opinion in Austria	35
a) Formal Arguments	36
b) Arbitration Agreement	36
c) Participating in the Constitution of the Arbitral Tribunal	38
d) Participating in the Arbitration Proceedings?	39
3. The Situation in Germany	40
4. Conclusion	43
C. Specific Problems Regarding Corporate Disputes	43
1. Stock Companies and Partnerships	44
2. The Subsequent Inclusion of an Arbitration Agreement	45
III. Consumer Protection and Corporate Law	46
A. Specific Issues	46
B. Objective and Scope of Sec 617 par 1 ACCP	46
C. The Term "Consumer" as Defined in the Austrian Consumer Protection Act ..	47
D. Applicability to Arbitration Agreements?	48

Reinmar Wolff

On the Interpretation of Model-Law-Based Provisions. Is Article 2a (1) of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration

"Useful and Desirable" or Just Futile?	51
I. Introduction	51
II. Harmonization Through a Soft Instrument	52
A. The Need for Harmonization	52
B. The Instruments for Harmonization	53
III. Interpretation Absent any Provision on Interpretation	56
A. National or Autonomous Standard	56
1. Autonomous Interpretation and Interpretable Substrate	56
2. The Disputing Parties' Interests and Other Counterarguments	59
B. Relevance of International Origin	59
1. National Standard Simulating Autonomous Interpretation ("Pseudo-Autonomous Interpretation")	60
2. Application of Unmodified Standard Means of Interpretation ("Pure Domestic Standard")	61
3. Consideration Within the Standard Means of Interpretation ("Internationalized Domestic Standard")	62
a) Literal Interpretation	63
b) Historical Interpretation	65
c) Systematic Interpretation	65
d) Teleological Interpretation	65
e) Comparative Interpretation	67
f) Order and Weight of Means of Interpretation	68
g) Specific Problem: Model Law Footnotes	68

C. Interim Conclusion	69
IV. Interpretation by Means of Provisions Like Article 2a (1) of the UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (ICA-ML)	69
A. Drafting History of Article 2a ICA-ML	70
1. Deliberations During the Thirty-Ninth Commission Session in 2006	70
2. Prior Use in Conventions, in Particular in Article 7 (1) CISG	70
3. Prior Use in UNCITRAL Model Laws	71
a) Pre-1996 Model Laws	71
b) Post-1996 Model Laws	73
B. National or Autonomous Standard	74
C. Relevance of International Origin	75
V. Conclusion	76

Lisa Beisteiner

The (Perceived) Power of the Arbitrator to Revise a Contract –

The Austrian Perspective	77
I. Introduction	77
A. Object of this Study	77
B. Brief Synopsis of Austrian Substantive Law on Contract Adaptation	80
II. Can Contract Revision (still) be Arbitration?	83
A. The Traditional View: The Creative Task of Contract Revision is not Arbitration	83
B. The Better View: Contract Revision can be Arbitration	86
1. On Dispute Resolution, Arbitrability, and the Dissociation of Synchronized Competences	86
a) Curial Contract Adaptation, <i>ergo</i> Arbitral Contract Adaptation	87
b) Arbitral Contract Adaptation <i>praeter</i> Curial Contract Adaptation	96
2. Arbitration versus Expert Determination	99
a) No Delineation on the Basis of a Different Function	100
b) Differentiation based on Party Intention regarding Review and Process	102
c) No Rule of <i>In Dubio Pro</i> Expert Determination	104
C. Conclusion: The Modern Concept of Arbitration comprises Contract Revision	105
III. Does the Individual Arbitration Agreement Confer the Authorization to Revise a Contract?	107
A. Contract Interpretation	108
B. Requirement of Express Authorization?	109
C. Authorization may also be “Implied”	110
1. Consonance of Procedural and Substantive Law	110
2. From Lord Wilberforce to Jan Paulsson	111
D. Lack of Authorization in the Arbitration Agreement: Legal Consequence	113
IV. Excursus: Arbitral Contract Adaptation beyond the Confines of the <i>Lex Causae</i> ?	113
A. Enforcing “Open” Contract Revision Clauses in Arbitration?	114
1. Enforceable “Open” Adaptation Clauses would be in Demand	114
2. Verifying Parties’ Intent: Clause meant to be Enforceable in Arbitration	114
3. Relief from Section 869 AGCC – the Concept of <i>ex aequo et bono</i> Decision-making	115
a) <i>Ex aequo et bono</i> and Deviation from Contract Terms	115
b) “Expressly authorized”?	116
4. Conclusion	116

B. <i>De facto</i> Power to Adapt the Contract?	117
1. Stretching the Limits of Section 914 (Supplementary Interpretation) or Sections 901, 936 p.a. (Change of Contractual Foundation)	118
2. Stretching the Limits of § 869 AGCC (“Open” – Undetermined – Adaptation Clauses)	120
3. Enforceability?	120
V. Conclusion and Outlook	121
 <i>John Fellas</i>	
The Power of Arbitrators To Adapt Contracts – A United States Perspective	123
I. Introduction	123
II. Price Adjustment Clauses	124
III. Changed Circumstances in the Absence of a Price Adjustment Clause	126
A. Impossibility	127
B. Frustration of Purpose	127
C. Commercial Impracticability	128
D. Mutual Mistake	129
IV. A Discussion of Some Cases	129
V. Conclusion	133
 <i>Rafał Kos/Maciej Durbas</i>	
The Arbitrators’ (Perceived) Power to Revise a Contract vs. the Power of the Public Policy Clause	135
I. Introduction	135
II. Public Policy and its General Standards	136
A. Legal Framework	136
B. Features of Public Policy Under the Polish Code of Civil Procedure	137
C. Notion of Public Policy on the Basis of Case Law	139
III. Standards of Mandatory Substantive Law on Contracts	140
A. General Standards for Contract Revision	140
B. General Standards for Contract Modification in the Event of the Non-performance of the Contract	142
IV. Applying Public Policy on General Standards for Contract Revision	143
V. Conclusions	145
 Chapter II The Arbitrator and the Arbitration Procedure	149
 <i>Irene Welser</i>	
Efficiency – Today’s Challenge in Arbitration Proceedings	151
I. Introduction	151
II. Factual Finding	152
III. An Institutional Approach – The Expedited Procedure	156
IV. Recommendations for the Parties and Party Representatives	158
A. Before the Proceedings	158
B. During the Proceedings	160
V. Best Practices for Arbitrators	162
VI. Conclusion	166

Vladimir Pavić

Disciplinary Powers of the Tribunal	167
I. Tribunal – a “Toothless Tiger”?	167
II. Procedural Deadlines and Other Disruptive Behavior	170
III. Protecting Confidentiality	172
IV. Decision on Costs – a Panacea?	176
V. Concluding Remarks: Importance of Being a Tiger	178

Tijana Kojović

Witness Preparation in International Arbitration in the Countries of the former Yugoslavia	181
I. Introduction	181
II. Witness Preparation under the National Procedural Rules and Deontological Codes	182
A. Witness Preparation in the UK and the US	182
B. Witness Preparation in Continental Europe	184
C. Witness Preparation in the Countries of former Yugoslavia	186
1. Serbia	187
2. Slovenia	188
3. Macedonia	189
4. Republika Srpska (B&H)	190
5. Montenegro	190
6. Croatia	191
7. Federation B&H	191
III. Can Different Attorneys’ Conduct Standards in the Former Yugoslavia Compromise Equality of the Parties in Arbitration?	192
IV. Appropriateness of Witness Preparation	193
V. Witness Preparation under the Rules of the Principal Arbitral Institutions and IBA Documents	195
VI. Further Elaboration of Permissible Witness Preparation Measures	198
VII. Conclusion	199

Venus Valentina Wong/Alfred Siwy

Recalcitrant Parties and the Tribunal’s Power to Order Cost Advance Payments ...	201
I. Introduction	201
II. Overview of European Jurisdictions	202
A. The Approach Under Austrian Law	202
1. The Traditional Approach	202
2. The Legal Qualification of the Claimant’s Claim for Reimbursement ...	203
3. The Legal Basis of the Payment Obligation	204
4. The Legal Basis of the Claim for Reimbursement	205
5. The Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal to Decide on the Claim for Reimbursement	206
B. The Approach under German Law	207
1. The Qualification of the Obligation to Pay the Advance on Costs and of Claimant’s Claim for Reimbursement	207
2. The Claim for Reimbursement	208
C. The Approach Under Swiss Law	209

1. The Qualification of the Obligation to Pay the Advance on Costs and of Claimant's Claim for Reimbursement	209
2. The Claim for Reimbursement	210
D. The Approach Under Swedish Law	210
1. The Qualification of the Obligation to Pay the Advance on Costs and of Claimant's Claim for Reimbursement	210
2. The Claim for Reimbursement	211
E. The Approach under French Law	212
1. The Claim for Reimbursement Before State Courts	212
2. The Claim for Reimbursement Before Tribunals	214
F. The Approach under English Law	214
1. The Qualification of the Obligation to Pay the Advance on Costs and of Claimant's Claim for Reimbursement	214
2. The Claim for Reimbursement	215
III. Form of the Remedy and its Enforcement	215
A. The Substantive or Contractual Approach	216
1. The Form of the Decision	216
2. The Enforcement	217
B. The Procedural Approach	218
1. The Form of the Decision	218
2. The Enforcement	219
IV. Conclusion	220
 <i>Günther J. Horvath</i>	
Subtle Ways of Addressing <i>Guerrilla</i> Tactics	223
I. Introduction	223
II. Role of the Sole Arbitrator/Arbitral Tribunal	224
A. Anticipation and Prevention	225
1. Checklists	226
2. Codes of Conduct	227
3. Case Management	228
B. Addressing and Stopping <i>Guerrilla</i> Tactics during the Proceedings	229
1. Step-by-Step Approach – Measured Discipline	229
2. Interim Measures	230
3. Dealing with Specific Types of <i>Guerrilla</i> Tactics	231
a) Responding to Delay Tactics	231
b) Unfounded Challenge Claims	233
c) Partisan Arbitrators	234
4. Less Subtle Ways of Dealing with <i>Guerrilla</i> Tactics	236
a) Calling on Arbitral Institutions or Bar Associations – is this a viable option?	236
b) Other More Extreme Measures – How Far Can Arbitrators Go?	237
C. Addressing <i>Guerrilla</i> Tactics after Closing of the Proceedings	237
1. Cost Sanctions	238
2. Drawing of Adverse Inferences	238
D. Conclusion	239

Chapter III The Award and the Courts	241
<i>Gerold Zeiler/Michael Nueber</i>	
Decisions of the Austrian Supreme Court on Arbitration in 2012 and 2013	243
I. Right to be Heard	243
A. Facts of the Case	243
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	244
C. Additional Remarks	244
II. Refusal of Recognition and Enforcement of an Arbitral Award due to Article V (1) (e) NYC	245
A. Facts of the Case	245
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	246
C. Additional Remarks	246
III. Interpretation of an Arbitration Clause	247
A. Facts of the Case	247
B. Decision of the Supreme Court	248
C. Additional Remarks	248
<i>Rainer Werdnik</i>	
The Enforceability of Emergency Arbitrators' Decisions	249
I. Introduction	249
II. Interim Measures	252
A. Interim Measures	252
B. Competence to Order Interim Measures	253
C. Form of Interim Measures	255
D. Content of Interim Measures	255
E. Enforcement of Interim Measures	256
1. New York Convention	256
2. Enforcement of Interim Measures under the New York Convention	258
a) Case Law	258
b) Academic Literature	262
F. Comment	264
III. ICC Pre-Arbitral Referee Procedure	264
A. ICC Pre-Arbitral Rules	264
B. Enforcement of a Referee's Order	265
1. Case Law	266
2. Academic Literature	267
C. Comment	268
IV. Emergency Arbitrators	269
A. Emergency Arbitrators' Rules	269
B. Enforcement of Emergency Arbitrators' Decisions	270
1. Legal Qualification of Emergency Arbitrators	271
2. Legal Qualification of Emergency Arbitrators' Decisions	271
a) Case Law	272
b) Academic Literature	273
C. Comment	274
1. Legal Qualification of the Emergency Arbitrator	274
2. Legal Qualification of Emergency Arbitrators' Decisions	274
D. Conclusion	277

V. Enforcement of Emergency Arbitrators' Decisions by the Emergency Arbitrator or Arbitral Tribunal	278
A. Enforcement Measures by the Arbitral Tribunal	278
1. Adverse Inferences	278
2. Astreinte	279
3. Order Nisi	280
4. Damages and Costs	281
B. Comment	281
VI. Conclusion	282

Michael Dunmore

Enforcement of Awards Set Aside in their Jurisdiction of Origin	285
I. Introduction	285
II. Theoretical Underpinnings	287
A. Transnational Legal Autonomy (Delocalized Approach)	287
B. Territoriality	291
C. Drahozal's Approaches	292
D. Paulsson: Local Standard Annulments	293
E. Park: Comity Approach	294
F. Final Comment on Theories	295
III. When an Award Becomes Binding	295
IV. When Enforcement of an Award May be Refused	299
A. New York Convention Article V (1) (e)	299
B. Court of Competent Jurisdiction	303
C. New York Convention Article VII	307
D. New York Convention Article V (2) (b)	309
V. Setting Aside Proceedings	312
VI. Conclusion	313

Gianfranco Di Garbo/Emanuela Banfi

Challenging of Foreign Awards in Italy	317
I. Introduction	317
II. Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Awards in Italy	317
A. The Entry into Force of the New York Convention and the Implementing Legislation	318
B. The Proceedings	318
1. The First Stage	318
2. The Second Stage	320
C. The Grounds for the Recognition and Enforcement of a Foreign Award and the Relevant Italian Courts' Rulings	322
D. Conservatory Measures	327
E. The Role of the Third Party	327

Anne-Catherine Hahn

Res Judicata as a Challenge for Arbitral Tribunals	329
I. Relevance of <i>Res Judicata</i> Issues for International Arbitration	329
II. <i>Res Judicata</i> – a Multi-Faceted Principle	331
A. No “Unité de Doctrine”	331
B. <i>Res Judicata</i> in Civil Law Jurisdictions	332

C. Claim and Issue Preclusion in Common Law Systems	333
D. <i>Res Judicata</i> Issues in Cross-Border Court Proceedings	334
III. <i>Res Judicata</i> in International Arbitration Proceedings	335
A. The Legal Framework	335
1. Limited Relevance of International Rules	335
2. Requirements of the <i>Lex Arbitri</i>	336
B. Duties and Powers of Arbitral Tribunals in Relation to <i>Res Judicata</i> Issues	337
1. <i>Res Judicata</i> as Procedural Bar to the Admissibility of Arbitration	337
2. The Arbitral Tribunal's Power to Review the Effects of a Prior Decision ...	338
3. Subsequent Control in Annulment Proceedings	339
IV. Conclusion	340

Michael Wietzorek

Agreements on an Expanded Judicial Review of Arbitral Awards	343
I. Introduction	343
II. Question 1: To Arbitrate or not to Arbitrate?	344
A. Four Examples	344
1. A 1985 Decision of the <i>Cour d'appel de Nancy</i>	344
2. An 1872 Decision of the <i>Reichs-Oberhandelsgericht</i>	345
3. A 1999 Decision of the <i>Oberlandesgericht Koblenz</i>	346
4. A 2005 Decision of the <i>Oberlandesgericht Naumburg</i>	346
B. The Overarching Issues	347
III. Question 2: What Shall the Court do with the Award?	349
A. Agreements that Repeat the Law	349
1. A 2011 Decision of the <i>Višje sodišče v. Ljubljani</i>	350
2. A 1991 Decision of the <i>Cour d'appel de Paris</i>	351
3. The Overarching Issues	351
B. Agreements on an Expanded Review	352
1. France	352
2. The United States of America	353
3. Germany	355
a) The Situation in General	355
b) A 2007 Decision of the <i>Bundesgerichtshof</i>	356
4. Austria	363
a) The Situation in General	363
b) A 2013 Decision of the <i>Oberster Gerichtshof</i>	363
c) Analysis of the 2013 OGH Decision and Continued Analysis of the 2007 BGH Decision	364
IV. Question 3: What Shall Happen to the Rest of the Clause?	367
A. Court Practice	368
B. The Overarching Issue	369

Chapter IV Investment Arbitration	371
--	------------

Erhard Böhm/Marie-Christine Motaabbed

The European Union and the Unloved BITs of its Member States	373
I. Introduction	373
II. The Treaty of Lisbon	373
III. Extra-EU BITs	375

A. The Problem	375
1. Alleged Incompatibility with EU-Law – Transfer Clauses	375
B. The Grandfathering Regulation	377
C. The Legal Basis for the Grandfathering Regulation	378
IV. Intra-EU BITS	380
A. Alleged Incompatibility with EU-Law	380
B. No Solution Yet	386
V. Financial Responsibility in the European International Investment Policy	387
VI. Contours of Future EU-Investment Agreements	389
VII. Conclusion	392
Annex	393
<i>Alfred Siwy</i>	
Recent Publications	395
Index 2007–2014	407